Natural Occurrence of 11-*O*-Acetylambelline and 11-*O*-Acetyl-1,2-β-epoxyambelline in *Crinum latifolium*: Immuno-regulant Alkaloids³

Shibnath Ghosal^{1, 2}, Potharaju H. Rao¹, and Kulwant S. Saini¹

Received: January 27, 1985; accepted: April 25, 1985.

The occurrence of two new bioactive 5,10b-ethanophenanthridine alkaloids, 11-O-acetylambelline (1) and 11-O-acetyl-1,2-β-epoxyambelline (2), in the resting bulbs of Crinum latifolium Linn. (Amaryllidaceae) is reported. The structures of the two alkaloids have been established on the basis of chemical and spectral evidence. Immunobiological screening data would seem to suggest a combination (1:1) of 1 and 2 to act as a potential immunoregulant.

This investigation was aimed at delving into the catabolic sequence of ambelline and 1,2- β -epoxyambelline, occurring in a ratio of approximately 3:1 (1) in sev-

eral *Crinum* species (2) during pre- and post-flowering stages covering a span of approximately 8 weeks. In the resting bulbs of *C. latifolium*, the two alkaloids are vicariously represented by their respective 11-*O*-acetyl derivatives.

The mixture of alkaloids (0.12 g) from the silica gel column chromatography of 'fraction B_3 ' (3), obtained from the resting bulbs of the title species (collected during January 1980, '81, '82) (1 kg wet weight, each), was taken up in MeOH- H_2O (90:10, 5 ml). The solution was subjected to semi-preparative H.P.L.C. [Spectra Physics model 8000, UV detector (254 nm); RP-8 column (25 × 0.8 cm, i.d.); MeOH- H_2O

(90:10) as the mobile phase, flow rate 8 ml/min]. Compounds **2** and **1** were obtained in succession (t_R 406 and 488 s, respectively). Analytical HPLC [Waters Associates model 440, 6000 A/U 6K; 254 nm/0.1 aufs; RP-18 column; MeOH-H₂O (80:20) mobile phase, flow rate 1 ml/min] of the mixture ('fraction B₃' from the resting bulbs) showed only traces of ambelline (t_R 390 s) and 1,2-β-epoxyambelline (t_R 300 s).

11-O-Acetylambelline (1). This compound crystallized from MeOH-AcMe as colourless prisms (36 mg, yield ca. 0.003 %), mp 232-235 °C, $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$ nm (log ε) 240 sh (3.0), 290 (3.08); $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ cm⁻¹ 1730, 1612, 1208, 1070, 938; δ_H (CDCl₃) 6.55 (1H, d, J=10 Hz, H-1), 6.40 (1H, s, H-10), 5.92 (1H, dd, J=10, 5 Hz, H-2), 5.81 (2H, s, OCH₂O), 5.18 (1H, dd, J=4, 8 Hz, H-11), 4.28 (1H, d, J=17 Hz, H_β-6), 4.02 (1H, m, H-3), 3.96 (3H, s, C₇-OMe), 3.75 (1H, d, J=17 Hz, H_α-6), 3.33 (3H, s, C₃-OMe),

Table I. Effects of 1 and 2 on Mouse Splenic Lymphocytes^a, Peritoneal Macrophage^b, and Spleen Weight.

| Compound | Stimulation Index ^{c, d} | | | Macrophage ^e | | | Spleen weight ^e | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | qs | 4 days of tumor growth | 12 days of tumor growth | normal × 10 ⁶ | RN (elici × 10 ⁶ 48 h | ted) 72 h | normal in mg | treated in mg |
| Concanavalin A | 7.95 ±0.43 | 7.87 ±0.50 | 2.53 ±0.66 | , | | <u></u> | | |
| 1 | 3.11 ±0.72 | 2.94 ±0.64 | 2.38 ±0.58 | | 2.55 | 4.62 | | 191.6 |
| 2 | 5.05 ±1.75 | 4.98 ±0.77 | 3.38 ±0.28 | | 2.60 | 4.71 | | 248.4 |
| 1 + 2 (1:1) | 6.46 ±0.86 | 6.74 ±0.24 | 4.55 ±0.21 | | 2.93 | 14.97 | | 348.95 |
| | | | | 2.42 | | | 198.7 | |

 $^{^{}a,b}$ protocols as described before (8, 9). c n = 6. d 5 μ g/ml in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2); mean \pm s. d. e n = 14 [Swiss mice (adult male) inoculated intraperitoneally (i. p.) with 1 (50 μ g) + 2 (50 μ g), in PBS; peritoneal cells were counted after 48 and 72 h; spleen weighth were recorded]. f Sarcoma 180 ascites tumor cells; qs, quiescent state (normal mice).

obtained in succession (t_R 406 and 488 s, respectively). Analytical HPLC [Waters Associates model 440, 6000 A/U 6K; 254 nm/0.1 aufs; RP-18 column; MeOH-H₂O (80:20) mobile phase, flow rate 1 ml/min} of the mixture ('fraction B₃'

11-O-Acetyl-1,2-β-epoxyambelline (2). This compound crystallized from AcMe as colourless micro-crystals (14 mg, yield ca. 0.001 %), mp 201–204 °C; $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm Me0H}$ 240 sh (2.99), 286 (3.04); $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBT}$ 1728, 1622, 1209, 940; $\delta_{\rm H}$

¹Pharmaceutical Chemistry Research Laboratory, Department of Pharmaceutics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India.

²Correspondence.

³ Part 16 in the Series "Chemical Constituents of Amaryllidaceae". For Part 15 see ref. (8).

3.2–2.8 (2H, m, H,H-12), 1.81 (3H, s, strongly shielded 11-O-Ac), 1.7–1.4 (2H, m, H,H-4); m/z 373 (M⁺, 100%), 299 (22), 247 (14), 211 (12) (Found: C, 64.1; H, 6.32; N, 3.33. $C_{20}H_{23}NO_6$ requires C, 64.34; H, 6.16; N, 3.75%). Deacetylation of 1 with NaOMe in MeOH (4) gave ambelline 3 (mp, mmp, co-TLC, IR).

11-O-Acetyl-1,2-β-epoxyambelline (2). This compound crystallized from AcMe as colourless micro-crystals (14 mg, yield ca. 0.001 %), mp 201–204 °C; $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{Me0H}}$ 240 sh (2.99), 286 (3.04); $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ 1728, 1622, 1209, 940; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 6.59 (1H, s, H-10), 5.90 (2H, s, OCH₂O), 5.16 (1H, dd, J=4, 8 Hz, H-11), 4.30 (1H, d, J=17 Hz, H_β-6), 4.04 (3H, s, C₇-OMe), 4.0 (1H, br. m, H-3), 3.74 (1H, d, J=17 Hz, H_α-6), 3.39 (3H, s, C₃-OMe), 3.3–2.85 (2H, m, H,H-12), 1.79 (3H, s, OAc), 1.7–1.4 (2H, m, H,H-4) [Found (by accurate mass mea-

surement) M, 389.147. $C_{20}H_{23}NO_7$ requires M, 389.147]. On deacylation, as before, **2** gave 1,2- β -epoxyambelline (**4**) (1) (mp, mmp, co-TLC, MS).

To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of the natural occurrence of 1 and 2. O-Acetylation of Amaryllidaceae alkaloids, seems to be a common biochemical process of transformation in the Crinum species. Thus, a number of O-acetyl derivatives, viz. 1-O-acetyl and 1,2-O-diacetyllycorine, 3-O-acetylcrinine, 3-O-acetylhamayane, were previously reported from this genus (5, 6, 7).

Acknowledgement

We are indebted to Dr. U. Chattopadhyay, division of Tumor Immunobiology, Chittaranjan Cancer Research Centre, Calcutta, for the biological screening. PR and KSS thank the University grants Commission, New Delhi, for research fellowships.

References

- (1) Ghosal, S., Saini, K. S., Arora, V. K. (1984) J. Chem. Res. (S) 232–233.
- (2) Ghosal, S., Saini, K. S., Razdan, S. (1985) Phytochemistry 24, in the press.
- (3) Ghosal, S., Saini, K. S., Frahm, A. W. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 2305–2309.
- (4) Ghosal, S., Saini, K. S. (1984) J. Chem. Res. (S) 110; (M) 965–975.
- (5) Ghosal, S., Rao, P. H., Jaiswal, D. K., Kumar, Y., Frahm, A. W. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 2003–2007.
- (6) Kobayashi, S., Tokumoto, T., Kihara, M., Imakura, Y., Shingu, T., Taira, Z. (1984) Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo) 32, 3015–3022.
- (7) Tsuda, Y., Kashiwaba, N., Kumar, V. (1984) Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo) 32, 3023–3027.
- (8) Chattopadhyay, U., Chaudhuri, L., Das, S., Kumar, Y., Ghosal, S. (1984) Pharmazie 39, 855-856.
- (9) Chattopadhyay, U., Chaudhuri, L., Das, S., Chattopadhyay, S., Ghosal S. (1985) Pharmazie 40, in the press.